

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,768		09/15/2003		Randy Wickman 7385-88348	7385-88348	1482	
	24628	7590	07/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	WELSH & I	•			MOONEY, MICHAEL P		
	22ND FLOO		21 1237 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6		2883		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
4	Advisory Action	10/662,768	WICKMAN, RANDY						
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Michael P. Mooney	2883						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE	REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
1. 🛛	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of								
	this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
-	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
Exten	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFK 1.130(a). The date of which the petudid inder 37 CFK 1.130(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. 📙	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
4. 🔲 5. 🔲	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. 6.			, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. 🔲	the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w	rill be entered and an	explanation of					
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
	Claim(s) allowed:								
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
	11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.									

MPM -7/11/06

Continuation of 13. Other: With respect to the double patenting rejection, a terminal disclaimer in full compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 was not submitted. E.g., See 37 CFR 1.321(c) with respect to the double-patenting rejection on pages 2-4 of the 4/20/06 Final Rejection. For example, Applicant did not specify the portion of the term of the patent being disclaimed (37 CFR 1.321(b)(2) required by 37 CFR 1.321(c)). Furthermore, Applicant's 6/23/06 After-Final Response failed to include a provision that any patent granted on the Application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that said patent is commonly owned with the patent which formed the basis for the rejection.

At least for the above reasons, the Applicants 6/23/06 Response to the Final Rejection is incomplete.

Frank G. Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800